

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/954,694	09/11/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/283001/US5205/5210/	8590		
26171 FISH & RICHA	7590 01/30/2007 ARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER LIANG, REGINA			
P.O. BOX 102	2					
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	·	•	2629			
		•				
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/30/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/954,694	YAMAZAKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Regina Liang	2629		

	1,766	Jilia Liariy		2029	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears o	on the cover sheet v	vith the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE	THIS API	PLICATION IN CONE	DITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to of this application, applicant must timely file one of the file places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compatime periods:	or on the following a Notice (	same day as filing a l replies: (1) an amend of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Iment, aff al fee) in (	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing	date of th	e final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the note event, however, will the statutory period for reply expected by the statutory period for reply expected. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a)	pire later th	han SIX MONTHS from	the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MP	EP 706.07	'(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79 NOTICE OF APPEAL	of extension of the shorted later than	on and the corresponding ened statutory period for	ng amount r reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension	thereof (37 CFR 41.	.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	المساديط مسا	uiou to the data of filiu			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final reject</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further</li> </ol>					ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE		station analor scarcin	(300 110	TE BCIOW),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application is appeal; and/or		orm for appeal by ma	terially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	ng a corre	esponding number of	finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	-		, ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFF	R 1.121. S	See attached Notice o	of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>				·	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowa	ble if submitted in a s	separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	): a) 🔲 v s provided	vill not be entered, or d below or appended.	rb)⊠ wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17, 20, 21, 29, 32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of goowas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	n, but bef d and suf	ore or on the date of ficient reasons why the	filing a N he affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of f entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is nece</li> </ol>	d to overc	ome <u>all</u> rejections un	ider appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explar REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>		•			•
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considere See Continuation Sheet.	ed but doe	es NOT place the app	olication in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s). (PTC	)/SB/08) Paper No(s)	)		
13. 🔲 Other:	· •	,		,	
			-	Regina Liang Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2629

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner disagrees with applicants' argument, the combination of Shimazu and Misawa reads on the claims.